



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/033,933	01/03/2002	Chin-Lien Huang	HUANG=141	2275
1444	7590	09/09/2005	EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			NGUYEN, TAM M	
624 NINTH STREET, NW				
SUITE 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			3764	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/033,933	HUANG, CHIN-LIEN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tam Nguyen	3764

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 August 2005.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 7-9 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:


(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Simonton (6,458,061) in view of Martens (6,755,770).

1. As to claim 1, Simonton discloses an exercise drawing assembly as substantially claimed (see Paragraph 2 of the last office action dated April 29, 2005). Simonton does not disclose that the end of each rope is further provided with a chain having a distal end connected to a respective one of said holding members. Martens discloses an exercise device that includes a rope (59A) provided with a chain (A) that is connected to a holding member (58) (see Fig. 1 below, Figs. 2, 8 & 8A and Col. 4, lines 24-31). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Marten's chain to the end of Simonton's rope to provide a reinforced link between the rope and the holding member.

2. As to claims 2, 3, 5, 7 and 8, Simonton and Martens discloses an exercise assembly as substantially claimed.

FIG. 1



Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stone (US 2003/0045406 A1) in view of Martens (6,755,770).

3. As to claims 1 and 9, Stone discloses an exercise drawing assembly as substantially claimed (see Paragraph 4 of the last office action dated April 29, 2005). Stone does not disclose that the end of each rope is further provided with a chain having a distal end connected to a respective one of said holding members. Martens discloses a exercise device that includes a rope (59A) provided with a chain (A) that is connected to a holding member (58) (see Fig. 1, 2, 8 & 8A and Col. 4, lines 24-31). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Marten's chain to the end of Stone's rope to provide a reinforced link between the rope and the holding member.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
Havlovic '966 discloses an exercise device that includes a rope (108) that is coupled to a holding member (124) via a chain (116) (see Fig. 1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tam Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

September 5, 2005



Danton D. DeMille
Primary Examiner